GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji - Goa

CORAM: Shri Juino De Souza State Information Commissioner.

Complaint No. 42/SIC/2011

Shri Franky Monteiro, H.No. 501, Devote, Loutolim, Salcete-Goa

..... Complainant

V/s.

1) PIO/Secretary, V.P. Loutolim, Salcete - Goa.

..... Opponents

Relevant emerging dates:

Date of Hearing

: 01-03-2016

Date of Decision

: 01-03-2016



ORDER

Brief facts of the case are that the Complainant had filed an application under RTI on 16-08-2010 seeking certain information and certified copies of gram sabha proceedings of meeting dated 25-07-2010, also about the status of bills produced by one Advocate dated 5/2/2010, whether the advocate appointed to defend the V.P. Secretary /PIO can be paid from Panchayat funds and many other such Panchayat related information.

It is the case of the Complainant that the reply furnished by the Respondent on 15-09-2010 in para 3 is not to his satisfaction and that he had moved the FAA on 02--11-2010 who had directed the PIO to furnish correct information and yet the PIO by his reply on 17-12-2010 has not given correct information and which is why he is before the commission in his second appeal.

During the hearing the Complainant Mr. Franky Monteiro is absent despite advance notice which was sent to him by Registered Post without intimation to this commission. This is the second time he has remained absent. The commission tried to contact him telephonically but there is no response. The Respondent PIO representing the Public Authority V.P. Loutolim Mr. Advin Carvalho alongwith the then PIO Mr. Amol Tendulkar are both present in person.

The Respondent states that all information was furnished to the complainant vide letters dated 15-09-2010& 17-12-2010 addressed to the complainant and letter 26-11-2010 (filed before FAA) which are on the record of the file. The Respondent PIO also furnishes a written declaration having inward entry no. 258 dated 17-2-16 confirming that all information was disclosed.

The Commission after verifying the records comes to the conclusion that all information was disclosed by the PIO and as such nothing survives in the complaint. The Complaint is closed.

Pronounced in open court before the parties who are present at the conclusion of the hearing. Notify the parties concerned. Authenticated copies of Order be given to the parties free of cost.

Sal— (Juino De Souza)

State Information Commissioner

Under Sebjetary

Gen State Intermetion Commission

Panolis Gen.